

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/630,295		07/30/2003	Gilberto Goncalves Oliveira Filho	138330	9716
26058	7590	04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
		SARANO	CHAN, KO HUNG		
SUNTRUST INTERNATIONAL CENTER, 28TH FLOOR 1 S.E. 3RD AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIAMI, I	FL 33131	-1714	3632		
				DATE MAN ED 04/20/2000	_

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/630,295	OLIVEIRA FILHO, GILBERTO GONCALVES				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Korie H. Chan	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External extern	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1.	/7/2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat priority documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/630,295

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of concealment of the best mode is based upon the quality of applicant's disclosure is so poor as to effectively result in concealment. Applicant's simplistic explanation that the two tubular structures (4) of equal length are attached to the top of the intermediate structure (2) via adjust handle (3a) cannot be understood. The disclosure does not provide one of ordinary skill in the art an understanding as to how tubular structures (4) can be attached to the intermediate structure (3) as now recited in claim 1 via adjusting handle (3a).

Claims 4, 6, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4, lines 4-5, "the outermost extent of the outermost of said two parallel tubular structures" is vague and indefinite as it is not clear "outermost" relative to what. Regarding claim 6, "said upper end portions" on line before last, lacks proper antecedent basis. Regarding claim 10, line 3, "comprising

Application/Control Number: 10/630,295

Art Unit: 3632

blades" is vague and indefinite as it is not clear whether these blades are the same "supporting blades" recited on last line of claim 1.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272-6816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,295

Art Unit: 3632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 571-272-6815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Korie H. Chan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

khc April 11, 2005